CORPORATE PARENTING BOARD – JULY 2017

Title of p	paper:	Independent Reviewing Officer (IRO) Annual Report 2016/17					
Director(Corporat	s)/ e Director(s):	Helen Blackman – Director, Chi Integrated Services	•		Wards affected: All		
Report a contact of	uthor(s) and details:	Clive Chambers – Head of Safeguarding and Quality Assurance 0115 8764373 Clive.chambers@nottinghamcity.gov.uk					
	lleagues who vided input:	Eve Hailwood – Principal Manager, Quality Assurance evelyn.hailwood@nottinghamcity.gov.uk					
		Alison Platkiw - Principal Manager, Independent Reviewing Officer Service for Children in Care					
		alison.plaitkw@nottinghamcity.gov.uk					
Date of consultation with Portfolio Holder(s) (if relevant)							
•	•	·					
	Council Plan I						
	Regeneration a	nd Development					
Schools							
Planning and Housing							
Community Services							
Energy, Sustainability and Customer							
Jobs, Growth and Transport Adults, Health and Community Sector							
Children, Early Intervention and Early Years							
Leisure and Culture							
Resources and Neighbourhood Regeneration							
Summary of issues (including benefits to citizens/service users):							
Independent Designing Officers and the second the secon							
Independent Reviewing Officers quality assure the plans for children in care and children subject to a protection plan. The Annual report (attached at Appendix 1) summarises activity and impact							
during the course of 2016/17.							
Recommendation(s):							
To note the content of this report.							

1 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 The Corporate Parenting Board is one of the bodies that the IRO Annual report is required to be presented to. This is because of the critical role that IROs play in overseeing plans that relate to children who are in care.

2 BACKGROUND (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

- 2.1 The primary focus of the IRO is to critically examine and quality assure the Care Planning and interventions of the Local Authority in respect of each child or young person in care. Central to this is ensuring that the child's wishes and feelings are given full consideration in planning. The primary focus therefore is to ensure;
 - There is robust challenge regarding decisions, where there is underlying poor professional practice and when decisions are not being taken in the children's interests.
 - To challenge the quality of analysis being undertaken, to ensure it identifies the children's needs.
 - Those views of children, parents, carers and other professionals are given sufficient weight in care planning.
- 3 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS
- 3.1 N/A
- 4 FINANCE COMMENTS (INCLUDING IMPLICATIONS AND VALUE FOR MONEY/VAT)
- 4.1 N/A
- 5 LEGAL AND PROCUREMENT COMMENTS (INCLUDING RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES, AND LEGAL, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT AND PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS)
- 5.1 N/A

- 6 STRATEGIC ASSETS & PROPERTY COMMENTS (FOR DECISION RELATING TO ALL PROPERTY ASSETS AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE) (AREA COMMITTEE REPORTS ONLY)
- 6.1 N/A
- 6 STRATEGIC ASSETS & PROPERTY COLLEAGUE COMMENTS (FOR DECISION RELATING TO ALL PROPERTY ASSETS AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE) (AREA COMMITTEE REPORTS ONLY)
- 6.1 N/A

7 **EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

	7.1	Has the equality	y impact of the	proposals in this re	port been assessed?
--	-----	------------------	-----------------	----------------------	---------------------

No
An EIA is not required because:
(Please explain why an EIA is not necessary)

Not required as the report does not contain financial proposals or decisions

8 <u>LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION</u>

8.1 None.

9 PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

9.1 N/A